

Goodwin Procter LLP
Attn: Beers, David Booth
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, CA 20001

Duane Morris LLP
Attn: Nelson, Paul D
One Market, Spear Tower, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105-1104

Superior Court of California, County of Alameda
Rene C. Davidson Alameda County Courthouse

Lee <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff/Petitioner(s)</p> VS. Bowles <p style="text-align: right;">Defendant/Respondent(s) (Abbreviated Title)</p>	No. <u>RG07363452</u> Order Motion for Pro Hac Vice
---	---

The Motion for Pro Hac Vice was set for hearing on 03/13/2008 at 03:00 PM in Department 17 before the Honorable Steven A. Brick. The Tentative Ruling was published and has not been contested.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:


The unopposed Application of Heather H. Anderson, Sherri C. Strand, Timothy F. Noelker and Rebecca A. Pinto (the "Applicants") to Appear as Counsel Pro Hac Vice for Plaintiffs is CONTINUED by the Court to 3:00 p.m. on March 20, 2008, in Department 17, Administration Building, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, because of the following deficiencies:

(1) The motion was not timely served on opposing counsel in light of the failure to give 5 extra days' notice for service by mail per C.C.P. § 1013. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs assert that Defendants do not oppose the applications and that no opposition has been filed. Nevertheless, to the extent Defendants wish to file and serve any response, they may do so on or before March 17, 2008. Otherwise, the Court will deem the applications unopposed and find that any deficient notice has been waived.

(2) The Declarations of the Applicants do not contain sufficient facts for the Court to determine whether the Applicants are residents of California, regularly employed in California, or regularly engaged in substantial business, professional or other activities in the state such that they would be ineligible to appear as counsel pro hac vice. (See CRC 9.40(a).)

If the Applicants file and serve, by March 14, 2008, supplemental declarations with sufficient facts to allow the Court to determine that the Applicants are not disqualified for such reasons, the Court would be inclined to grant the applications.

Dated: 03/13/2008

Facsimile


Judge Steven A. Brick

SHORT TITLE: Lee VS Bowles	CASE NUMBER: RG07363452
-------------------------------	----------------------------

ADDITIONAL ADDRESSEES

Nelson, Paul D
4 Embarcadero Center
10th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-____